application, which Applicants filed consistent with their duty of disclosure requirements, and included that related application in the Office's file, which is the application examined by Examiner Owens. The details of the facts and circumstances supporting the conclusion that the Office's file contains the wrong application, is set forth in detail in the Petition, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Consequently, as the Restriction Requirement is believed to be based on a review of a different patent application, not the above-identified patent application, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the Restriction Requirement. Consistent with this request, it is also requested that Applicants receive a new Office Action based on the application (Appendix A of the Petition) that Applicants actually filed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P

Marvin J. Spivak

Registration No. 24,913

Bradley D. Lytle

Registration No. 40,073

Edwin D. Garlepp

Registration No. 45,330

22850

(703) 413-3000 Fax #: (703)413-2220

GJM:BDL/rac

I:\ATTY\BDL\217208US\217208US.AM.DOC

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

RECEIVED

NOV 2 5 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS